W

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Nation of Allowed War	10/601,707	NAGASAKA ET AL.	
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kianni C. Kaveh	2883	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.			
1. This communication is responsive to 11/23/05 and 2/23/06.			
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-3,5-11 and 36</u> .			
3. The drawings filed on 24 June 2003 are accepted by the Examiner.			
 4.			
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d). 7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.			
Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 1/16 (64, \$120)66 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	5. ☐ Notice of Informal Pa 6. ☐ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Date 8), 7. ☑ Examiner's Amendm 8. ☑ Examiner's Stateme 9. ☐ Other	(PTO-413), e nent/Comment	·
KAVEH KIANNI EXAMINER			

Art Unit: 2883

substrate in correspondence with the location of the light receiver in combination with the rest of the limitations of the base claim

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the

payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany

the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of

Reasons for Allowance."

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to K. Cyrus Kianni whose telephone number is (571) 272-2417.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font, can be reached at (571) 272-2415.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 (for formal communications intended for entry)

or:

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Plaza 4, 2021 South Clark Place. Arlington, VA., Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

June 5, 2006

KAVEH KIANNI PRIMARY EXAMINER Application/Control Number: 10/601,707

Art Unit: 2883

Applicant's canceling of claims 4 and 12-35 in the amendment/response submitted on 3/23/06 is acknowledged.

Reason for Allowance

Claims 1-3, 5-11 and 36 are allowed for the following reasons:

The instant application is deemed to be directed to a nonobvious improvement over the invention patented in Pat. No. Buchter (US 6536957).

Claims 1-3 are allowed because the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious one of the light-condensing devices being disposed on the other surface of the substrate in correspondence with the location of the optical element and another light-condensing device being disposed near an end of the optical socket so as to oppose the optical element in combination with the rest of the limitations of the base claim. Claims 7-11 and 5-6, respectively, depend on claims 1 and 2 and therefore they are also allowed.

Claim 36 is allowed because the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious the light emitter being disposed on one surface of the substrate, and the first light-condensing device and the optical socket being disposed on the other surface of the substrate in correspondence with the location of the light emitter, and so that the second optical fiber, the second light-condensing device, and the light receiver are aligned on a second optical axis of the optical transceiver, the light receiver being disposed on one surface of the substrate, and the second light-condensing device and the optical socket being disposed on the other surface of the